



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

|   |               |                      |                     |                  |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/585,879  | 07/11/2006    | Sachiko Suzuki       | VX062745 PCT        | 7721             |
| 23400   | 7590          | 08/26/2010           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC<br>12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE<br>SUITE 101<br>RESTON, VA 20191 |               |                      | BROWNE, DAVID       |                  |
| ART UNIT  | PAPER NUMBER  |                      |                     |                  |
|   |               | 1617                 |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE   | DELIVERY MODE |                      |                     |                  |
| 08/26/2010  | PAPER         |                      |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |  |                     |  |
|--|--|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>  | <b>Application No.</b>   | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|  | 10/585,879   | SUZUKI ET AL.       |  |
|  | <b>Examiner</b>  | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
| DAVID M. BROWNE  | 1617   |                     |  |
| <b>All Participants:</b>   | <b>Status of Application:</b> <u>Abandoned</u>   |                     |  |
| (1) DAVID M. BROWNE.   | (3) _____.   |                     |  |
| (2) Therese Varnell.   | (4) _____.   |                     |  |
| <b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>24 August 2010</u>  | <b>Time:</b> <u>11:45AM</u>  |                     |  |
| <b>Type of Interview:</b>  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic<br><input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference<br><input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) |                     |  |
| <b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  | If Yes, provide a brief description: .   |                     |  |
| <b>Part I.</b>   |  |                     |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |  |                     |  |
| <i>None</i>  |  |                     |  |
| Claims discussed:  |  |                     |  |
| <i>None</i>  |  |                     |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:   |  |                     |  |
| <i>None</i>  |  |                     |  |
| <b>Part II.</b>  |  |                     |  |
| <b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b>   |  |                     |  |
| <i>Ms. Varnell, attorney at Posz Law Group, PLC in Reston, Va stated that no response has been received.</i>   |  |                     |  |
| <b>Part III.</b>   |  |                     |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.<br><input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. |  |                     |  |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  |  |                     |  |